

Improved incremental conductance method for maximum power point tracking using cuk converter

M. Saad Saoud^a, H. A. Abbassi^a, S. Kermiche^a, D. Nada^a

^aLaboratory of Automatic and Signal, Annaba University, Annaba 23000

Abstract

The Algerian government relies on a strategy focused on the development of inexhaustible resources such as solar and uses to diversify energy sources and prepare the Algeria of tomorrow: about 40% of the production of electricity for domestic consumption will be from renewable sources by 2030, Therefore it is necessary to concentrate our forces in order to reduce the application costs and to increment their performances, Their performance is evaluated and compared through theoretical analysis and digital simulation. This paper presents simulation of improved incremental conductance method for maximum power point tracking (MPPT) using DC-DC cuk converter. This improved algorithm is used to track MPPs because it performs precise control under rapidly changing Atmospheric conditions, Matlab/ Simulink were employed for simulation studies.

Keywords: Improved incremental conductance, MPPT, cuk converter, rapidly changing Atmospheric conditions, Matlab.

1. Introduction

The renewable energies especially photovoltaic technology (PV) represents an alternative by excellence and it is increasingly used in today. This type of energy is not only free and inexhaustible, but also cleans the environment. Moreover, we often speak of a "green energy", as totally avoids the pollution produced by traditional sources.

The maximum power point (MPP) is the point on the I-V curve at which the PV module operates with maximum output power, The MPP varies with changing conditions such as irradiance levels and temperature. To make best use of PV sources, it is essential to always operate at the MPP. The main job for the MPPT is to control the PV system and run it near its Maximum Power Point. There are a large number of algorithms that are able to track MPPs. Some of them are simple and some are more

Complicated, In general MPPT methods can be classified as [1]:

The first category is Voltage feedback based methods which compare the PV operating voltage with a reference voltage in order to generate the PWM control signal of the DC-DC converter.

The second category - Current feedback based methods which use the PV module short circuit current as a feedback in order to estimate the optimal current corresponding to the maximum power.

The third category is Power based methods which are based on iterative algorithms to track continuously the MPP through current and voltage measurement of the PV module. In this category, one of the most successful and used method is perturbation and observation [2].




Figure 1. Photovoltaic system with MPPT

1.1. MPPT methods

1) P&O: The principle of P&O is to send perturbations in the operating voltage of the PV array which makes the output power is approaching to maximum [3]. To be specific, the array terminal voltage is perturbed periodically. The perturbation is incrementing or decrementing. Then the P&O algorithms operate by comparing the PV output power with that of the previous perturbation cycle. If the PV array operating voltage changes and power increases ($dP/dV > 0$), the MPPT controller moves the PV array operating point in that direction; otherwise the operating point is moved in the opposite direction. In the next perturbation cycle the algorithm continues in the same way. Thus, there are some disadvantages with these methods, where they fail under rapidly changing atmospheric conditions, In other words, P&O algorithm cannot compare array terminal voltage with the actual MPP voltage, since the change in power is only considered to be a result of the array terminal voltage perturbation [4].

2) Hill Escalade (HC): The most common types of algorithms are Hill Climbing algorithms [5]. it is based on the duty cycle control, HC works on the same concept as P & O, but instead of disturbing voltages or current, it updates the operating point of the PV generator disrupting the duty cycle. HC conventional method is interesting that the duty cycle of the power converter can be varied directly; implementation of HC is greatly simplified. Therefore, this method is widely used in PV systems.

3) fuzzy logic and neural network MPPTs: are more rapid and accurate and, thus, more impressive, which need special design and familiarity with specific subjects, Fuzzy logic control generally consists of three stages: fuzzification, rule base table lookup, and defuzzification [5], this method have good performance under varying atmospheric conditions and exhibit better performance than the P&O control method[8]; however the main disadvantage of this method is that their effectiveness is greatly dependent on technical knowledge of the engineer in computing the error and coming up with the rule base table [6]. It is greatly dependent on how a designer arranges the system that requires skill and

experience. A neural network is composed of three layers: the input, hidden and output layers. Inputs to a network can be the array terminal voltage and the solar irradiation level or any other measurements needed by the MPPT algorithm. The output is usually one or several reference signal(s) like a duty cycle signal used to drive the power converter to operate at or close to the MPP. The link between nodes i and j is labelled as having a weight of w_{ij} . The effectiveness of this MPPT technique is mainly determined by the hidden layer and the amount of training the network received. The weights between the neurons are tuned to generate the required output which could be a command to change a DC converter duty cycle. A disadvantage of this technique is the complexity of processing, in addition to its dependency on the characteristics of the PV array to which it is connected.

4) Particle swarm optimization (PSO): Among the environmental valuation techniques, particle swarm optimization (PSO) is a high potential due to its simplicity structure, its ability to handle non-linear functions and they are expected to be very effective in treating the problem MPPT [7], easy implementation and fast computation capacity. Particle swarm optimization (PSO) is a technique based on the population stochastic optimization developed by Dr. Eberhart and Dr. Kennedy in 1995, inspired by social behavior of flocking birds or schooling fish. The system is initialized with a population of random solutions and searches for optima by updating generations. However, unlike EA, PSO has no evolution operators such as crossover and mutation. In PSO, the potential solutions, called particles, fly through the problem space by following the current optimum particles.

5) Traditional Incremental Conductance (INC) Method: is also widely applied in the MPPT controllers [8] it is the one which overrides over the aforementioned drawbacks. In this method, the array terminal voltage is always adjusted according to the MPP voltage, the following set of rules is found:

$$\frac{dP}{dV} = \frac{d(IV)}{dV} = I + V \frac{dI}{dV} \quad (1)$$

The MPP can be tracked by comparing the instantaneous conductance (I/V) to the incremental conductance (dI/dV), because at MPP $\frac{dP}{dV} = 0$, According to [7], [9] and [1] above equations can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{dI}{dV} = -\frac{I}{V} \quad \text{At MPP} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dV} < -\frac{I}{V} \quad \text{Right of MPP} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dV} > -\frac{I}{V} \quad \text{Left of MPP} \quad (4)$$




Figure 2. The flowchart of the InCon method

1.2. Choose of DC DC converter

DC/DC converters are used in a wide variety of applications including power supplies, there are four topologies: boost converter, buck converter, buck-boost converter and cuk converter, this last is the most expensive but On the other hand, the Cuk converter has low switching losses and the highest efficiency among nonisolated dc–dc converters. It is a two-inductor non-isolated switch mode DC-DC converter in which power transmission is accomplished using an ideal switch. Cuk converter will responsible to invert the output signal from positive to negative or vice versa [2]. This converter has two modes of operation. The first mode of operation is when the switch is closed (ON), and it is conducting as a short circuit. In this mode, the capacitor releases energy to the output. The equations for the switch conduction mode are as follows:

$$VL1 = Vg \tag{5}$$

$$VL2 = -V1 - V2 \tag{6}$$

$$Ic2 = I2 - \frac{V2}{R} \tag{7}$$

On the second operating mode when the switch is open (OFF), the diode is forward-biased and conducting energy to the output. Capacitor C1 is charging from the input. The equations for this mode of operation are as follows:

$$VL1 = Vg - V1 \tag{8}$$

$$VL2 = -V2 \tag{9}$$

$$Ic2 = I2 - \frac{v2}{R} \tag{10}$$

$$Ic1 = I1 \tag{11}$$




Figure 3. Electrical circuit of the Cuk converter used as the PV power-stage interface Improved InCon MPPT algorithm.

The Incremental Conductance (IncCond) method is based on above algorithm as shown in the flowchart Fig1. The MPP can thus be tracked by comparing the instantaneous conductance (I/V) to the incremental conductance ($\Delta I/\Delta V$). V_{ref} is the reference voltage at which the PV array is forced to operate. At the MPP, V_{ref} equals to V_{MPP} . The algorithm decreases or increases V_{ref} only to track the new MPP .this case require two independent control loops to control the MPPT. The first control loop contains the MPPT algorithm, and the second one is usually a proportional (P) or P–integral (PI) controller.

But in this paper the duty cycle is adjusted directly in the algorithm i.e. a direct control is selected, where the PI control loop is eliminated and the algorithm can be computed very rapidly.

2. Simulation results

2.1. Modeling a PV Module

The PV array used in this paper is a combination of 4 series of KC85T modules. Series combination of modules was chosen to obtain higher output voltage of PVmodule.The KC85T module itself is composed of 36 silicon cells connected in series. Each module can generate current up to 5.34A and a voltage of 21.7 volts and give rise to the maximum power of 87W peak at standard testing conditions (25 °C, 1000W/m² and AM=1.5). In the following simulations a single module is considered and the simulations for the full array are the same.




Figure 4. Flowchart of the IncCond method with direct control




Figure 5. KC85T P-V curve.




Figure 6. KC85T module I-V curve at various temperatures




Figure 7. KC85T module I-V curve at various insolation.

2.2. PV Module with CUK converter and MPPT

The aim of employing MPPT is to ensure that at any environmental condition (particularly solar insolation and temperature), maximum power is extracted from the PV modules. [10]. The solar irradiation and temperature change according to the operating condition. The PV module electrical model designed is used to generate voltage and current of the module at each sampling time. MATLAB embedded function contains the IncCond algorithm with direct control method, so it will directly calculate the new duty cycle. The algorithm determines the new duty cycle where the system should move next and also replaces old values with the new (Fig.9) .




Figure 8. IncCond algorithm with various irradiances




Figure 9. Duty cycle response curve with the proposed method

The provided current and voltage are fed to the converter and the controller simultaneously, the PI control loop is eliminated, and the algorithm proposed follows MPP quickly and with high precision (Fig.8).

3. Conclusion

In this paper an improved incremental conductance algorithm under rapidly changing Atmospheric conditions is proposed for PV array applications. It automatically adjusts the duty cycle according to the operating point of the PV array. The proposed algorithm has been

simulated in Matlab. The results acquired during the simulations indicate that the proposed control system is capable to track MPP with performance.

4. References

- [1] A. SAFARI "Optimization and control of MPPT for different ambient conditions", Faculty of Engineering University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur July 2009
- [2] H. Nissah and S. Mekhilef "Comparison Study of Maximum Power Point Tracker Techniques for PV Systems", Proceedings of the 14th International Middle East Power Systems Conference (MEPCON'10), Cairo University, Egypt, December 19-21, 2010
- [3] J. Hu, J. Zhang and H. Wu "Novel MPPT Control Algorithm Based on Numerical Calculation for PV Generation Systems" Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference, 2009. IPEMC '09. IEEE 6th International 17-20 May 2009
- [4] K.H. Hussein, I. Muta, T.Hoshino, M. Osakada, "Maximum photovoltaic power tracking: an algorithm for rapidly changing atmospheric conditions", IEE Proc.-Gener, transm. Distrib vol.142, no.1, pp.59~64, Jan 1995
- [5] T. Esmam and P. L. Chapman, "Comparison of photovoltaic array maximum power point tracking techniques" IEEE Trans. Energy Convers., vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 439–449, Jun. 2007
- [6] M.S. Aït Cheikh, C. Larbes, T. Kebir, A. Zerguerras, "Maximum power point tracking using a fuzzy logic control scheme", IEEE, Revue des Energies Renouvelables vol. 10 Nno.3, pp.387 - 395, 2007
- [7] I. Kashif, Z. Salam, M. Amjad, and S. Mekhilef "An Improved Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)-Based MPPT for PV With Reduced Steady-State Oscillation" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON POWER ELECTRONICS, VOL. 27, NO. 8, AUGUST 2012
- [8] S. Moring "Maximum power point tracking algorithm and software development" University of Technology Faculty of EEMCS June 27, 2012
- [9] P. Ashish, "High-performance algorithms for drift avoidance and fast tracking in solar MPPT system", IEEE Trans. on energy conversion, vol. 23, no.2, pp.681~689, June 2008
- [10] I. Kashif, Z. Salam "A review of maximum power point tracking techniques of PV system for uniform insolation and partial shading condition" Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 19 (2013) 475–48A

